Pharmacokinetics of intravenous and oral metformin and R,S-verapamil in Sinclair, Hanford, Yucatan and Göttingen minipigs

Aim: Oral and intravenous pharmacokinetic (PK) studies were conducted in four different minipig strains: Sinclair, Yucatan, Hanford and Göttingen after administration of metformin or R,S-verapamil (R,S-VER). Results: The results indicated that the PK of metformin was similar between all minipig strains, except for the Göttingen which had higher plasma clearance. The plasma clearance of both (+)-(R)- and (−)-(S)-VER was significantly lower in Sinclair compared with the clearance in other strains. The (+)-(R)-NOR to R,S-VER ratio was significantly higher in the Sinclair. There was a preferential conversion of R,S-VER to (+)-(R)-NOR over (−)-(S)-NOR in all minipig strains. Conclusion: This work highlights the importance of considering the impact of metabolic and dispositional differences in minipig strains when conducting PK studies.
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Pigs have become increasingly useful models in drug development in Europe and now in the USA due to their anatomical and functional similarities to humans with respect to their skin, GI and immune system. They are often considered as relevant disease models for arteriosclerosis, metabolic syndrome, gastric ulcer, wound healing as well as toxicology evaluations that support regulatory packages [1]. Compared with the domestic pig, the advantages of the minipig are its ease of handling because of its inherently smaller size, even at full maturity, which is particularly suitable for long-term studies [1]. Accordingly, minipigs such as Yucatan, Hanford, Sinclair and Göttingen have been widely used for chronic studies, lasting up to 9 months [1-3]. The use of minipig in biomedical research is enabled by the collection of larger volumes of multiple samples of body fluids and biopsies compared with rodents, thus making it possible to conduct studies that approximate to those performed in humans. In dermatological studies, skin penetration and irritation is evaluated because properties of pig skin are deemed most similar to human skin. Several pig models have been established for studying drug effects in myocardial infarction and ischemia-induced acute kidney injury studies [4-6]. The pharmacokinetics (PK) of drugs in minipigs has been studied to assess formulation comparisons, as well as in support of toxicology evaluations. Oral dosing is one of the preferred routes of drug administration due to its convenience. Therefore, an appropriate model for studying oral drug delivery is necessary for drug discovery and development. A comparison of the range of pH values reported for different portions of the GI tract indicates most similarities between human and pig over mouse, rat, dog, rabbit or monkey [7]. Furthermore, the total small and large intestinal surface area as well as the small intestinal transit time for solids is most similar between human and pig, compared with mouse and dog [8]. These similarities support the use of minipig over other species in the investigation of oral absorption profiles.
for drug discovery and development programs \[9\]. From a metabolism perspective, the results presented on the comparability of hepatic P450 cytochromes between pigs and humans support the usefulness of minipigs as experimental animals to predict biotransformation pathways in man \[10–14\]. Some evidence of strain differences have been observed, for example hepatic CYP3A4 activity in the Göttingen is greater than in conventional pigs with a slight gender difference in both strains \[10,12\]. While pharmacology or toxicology data may be collected in different strains of pigs with appropriate considerations, a clear indication on whether strain differences would affect PK properties of drug candidates is needed. To this point, differences in the PK of compounds such as paracetamol in the Göttingen minipig \[15\] and diclofenac in the Yucatan minipig \[16\] have been noted when compared with human, but it is unclear if these differences are specific to the minipig or just one of the strains.

We selected two molecules to evaluate PK differences in four lineages of minipig. Metformin (MET) is an antihyperglycemic agent commonly used for the treatment of Type II diabetes mellitus. In humans, MET is not metabolized and is cleared from the body by renal tubular secretion and excreted unchanged in the urine; MET is undetectable in blood plasma within 24 h of a single oral dose with a mean plasma elimination half-life after oral administration of between 4.0 and 8.7 h \[17\]. R,S-verapamil (R,S-VER), a calcium channel-blocker, is a chiral drug that is administered as a racemic mixture and is known to undergo an extensive enantioselective first-pass effect after oral administration \[18\]. R,S-VER undergoes extensive oxidative metabolism with formation of an active metabolite norverapamil (NOR) \[19\]. The bioavailability of \((-)-(S)-\text{VER}\) is greater than that of \((+)-(R)-\text{VER}\) and the clearance of \((+)-(R)-\text{VER}\) is greater than that of \((-)-(S)-\text{VER}\) after oral administration in rats. Furthermore, enantioselectivity in rat plasma is opposite to that observed in human plasma. Plasma protein binding studies have also revealed opposite enantioselectivity in the free fraction in rat (R > S) and human (S > R) plasma for verapamil (VER) \[20\]. In this work, the PKs of MET that is primarily cleared by the renal route and R,S-VER that is highly metabolized and has enantiomeric differences in its disposition were studied to provide an initial basis of PK comparisons between four strains of minipigs.

Materials & methods

Materials

MET HCl was purchased from MP Biomedicals, LCC (OH, USA) and its internal standard ([\(^{13}\)C\(_6\)] MET) was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals Inc. (Toronto, Canada). R,S-VER.HCl was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals Inc.; its enantiomers ((+)-(R)-NOR.HCl, (−)-(S)-NOR.HCl, (+)-(R)-VER mono HCl hydrate, (−)-(S)-VER.HCl Hydrate) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (MO, USA). The internal standards (D7-VER and D7-NOR) were purchased from SynFine Research Inc (Richmond Hill, Canada). All chemical and reagents were purchased at the highest purity grade level, including United States Pharmacopeia grade. Hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) suspension vehicle for oral dosing (1% hydroxyethylcellulose, 0.25% polysorbate 80, 0.05% antifoam, water) and intravenous solution vehicle (20% hydroxypropyl-beta-cyclodextrin, phosphate buffer pH 2) were prepared at Eli Lilly and stored at -20°C \[21\].

Animals

This work was performed under a research and animal use protocol approved by the Sinclair Research Center, LLC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (MO, USA) and was conducted in an Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care accredited facility in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act (Animal Welfare Act of 1966, Federal Register) and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All the four strains were bred in the continental US: the Sinclair, Yucatan, and Hanford animals were obtained from Sinclair Bioresources (MO, USA) and the Göttingen animals were obtained from Marshall Bioresources (NY, USA). The animals were under the care of a staff veterinarian at all times during the studies. Four male minipigs from each strain that were at least 3 months of age with an average body weight of approximately 15 kg for the Sinclair, Hanford and Yucatan strains and 11 kg for the Göttingen strain were selected for the studies (Table 1). Male animals were used in order to prevent the effects of different female sexual hormones cycles in different animals and their interference with the metabolism of xenobiotics. The animals were surgically castrated in order to prevent the presence of aggressive behavior directed toward congeners and to prevent the formation of armor plates in the scapular area that would interfere with the access ports. Dual vascular access ports were implanted in their jugular veins with subcutaneous access in the prescapular regions. Following surgical recovery, the animals were acclimated to dosing and bleeding with vehicle by the oral route. Animals received their standard food ration at 3 pm each day while not on study. A certified minipig feed with a 4% fiber content was provided (Purina® Sinclair S9 from LabDiet, MO, USA). All study animals were food-fasted overnight prior to dosing and were fed on the regular evening schedule at 3 pm (approximately
6 h postdose). All study animals had ad libitum access to clean, fresh water throughout the dosing and blood collection period. Animals did not have access to rooting materials, such as chopped or long straw, in order to avoid any interference with the absorption of drugs. The animals were dosed orally with MET:HCl formulated in 1% hydroxyethylcellulose (w/v), 0.25% polysorbate 80 (v/v), 0.05% antifoam (v/v), purified water q.s. at a dose of 5 mg/kg in a 5 ml/kg dose volume [21]. After a 7-day washout period, the animals were intravenously administered 0.5 mg/kg of MET, HCl or R,S-VER formulated in 25 mM NaPO₄ buffer, pH 6.5 [21]. Blood samples were collected in K₃ EDTA tubes prior to dosing and at selected time points up to 48 h after dose administration. Vials were placed on ice after collection, centrifuged at 4°C and then processed to separate plasma and stored frozen at -80°C before LC/MS/MS analysis.

### Protein binding

In vitro plasma protein binding was determined using the equilibrium dialysis method. The 150 μl half-cell capacity equilibrium dialyzer was used to conduct the assay using cellulose membranes. The 96-well equilibrium dialysis cells were assembled using HTDialysis membranes (CT, USA) with molecular weight cut off ranging from 12,000 to 14,000 Da that were conditioned as follows: soaked for at least 60 min in Milli-Q water, then overnight in 20% ethanol, followed by a rinse with Milli-Q water and then soaked 15 min in phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. (+)-(R)-VER or (-)-(S)-VER (270 μM stock) were individually spiked into 996 μl half-cell samples (25 μl) of plasma from human or various minipig strains. Samples were vortex mixed for 30–60 s and six initial concentration replicates were transferred into a 96-well plate (C₀). Internal standard in acetonitrile was added to precipitate the matrix. Aliquots (100 μl) of each spiked matrix sample were placed into the donor side and an equal volume of 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 was placed into each corresponding receiver well. Dialysis was conducted at 120 rpm in an orbital shaker at 37°C for 6 h. All remaining spiked samples were placed in an incubator alongside the dialysis plate at the same temperature and for the same time. Following incubation, one aliquot from each donor well (Cₕₐₜₐₜ) was placed into the 96-well plate containing internal standard in acetonitrile and blank matrix alongside six replicates of the incubated spiked sample tubes (Cₜₚₕ) were placed into a 96-well plate with internal standard in acetonitrile. Samples were analyzed for (+)-(R)-VER and (-)-(S)-VER concentrations using the bioanalytical methods described below. The fraction unbound (% unbound), fraction bound (% bound) and percent device recovery were calculated in the binding assay with the following equations:

\[
\% \text{ Unbound} = \frac{C_{\text{receiver}}}{C_{\text{donor}}} \times 100
\]

\[
\% \text{ Bound} = 100 - \% \text{ Unbound}
\]

% Device recovery = \[\frac{C_{\text{receiver}} + C_{\text{donor}}}{C_{\text{final}}} \times 100\]

The percent device recovery in all strains was >95%, except for (+)-(R)VER in Göttigen plasma for which recovery was 88%.

### Bioanalysis

MET and VER (+)-(R)-VER, (-)-(S)-VER, (+)-(R)-NOR or (-)-(S)-NOR, modified method [22] plasma concentrations were determined with an LC/MS/MS assay at Quintiles Biosciences (IN, USA). The internal standard [H₁₄] MET in 180 μl of acetonitrile/formic acid (95:5, v/v) was added to plasma samples (25 μl), mixed and centrifuged. The resulting supernatants were diluted tenfold with acetonitrile/formic acid (95:5, v/v) and subjected to LC/MS/MS analysis. Chromatography was performed using a B gatesil silica-100 column 2.1 x 50 mm 5 μm HPLC column (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA), with a gradient elution using mobile phases A: water/formic acid/1M NH₄HCO₃, (1000:2:2, v/v) and B: acetonitrile/water/formic acid/1M NH₄HCO₃, (1000:25:2:2, v/v). Mass spectrometric detection was performed with a mass spectrometer equipped with a turbo ion spray source (API 4000, Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), using selected reaction monitoring in positive ion mode (m/z transitions of 130.1>71.1 for MET and 136.1>77.1 for the internal standard). For (+)-(R)-VER, (-)-(S)-VER, (+)-(R)-NOR or (-)-(S)-NOR analyses, a 25 μl aliquot of each plasma sample, standard or quality control was mixed with

| Table 1. Age and weight-matched strains of minipig. |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| **Strain**      | **Age at first dose (months)** | **Body weight (kg)** |
| Sinclair        | 4.5 ± 0.1        | 14.8 ± 2.1       |
| Yucatan         | 4.2 ± 0.2        | 14.6 ± 0.3       |
| Hanford         | 4.4 ± 0.0        | 14.3 ± 1.2       |
| Göttigen        | 4.7 ± 0.3        | 11.3 ± 0.8       |
25 μl of internal standard solution (50 ng/ml D7-R or S-VER and D7-R or S-NOR). 10 μl of 2N NaOH and 500 μl of hexane, then centrifuged to separate the two liquid layers. A 425 μl volume of each sample’s upper layer was transferred to a new 96-well plate and evaporated to dryness. The extracts were reconstituted with 100 μl of 90:5:5:0.1 heptane/isopropanol/ethanol/diethylamine and analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a CHIRALPAK AD-RH (Daicel) 150 x 4.6 mm 5 μm column and a mobile phase of 90:5:5:0.1 hexanes/isopropanol/ethanol/diethylamine delivered at 2 ml/min with 0.3 ml/min of acetonitrile added postcolumn to improve sensitivity. Calibration curves were prepared in matrix from 1 to 5000 ng/ml. SRM transitions (positive ion mode) with precursor and product ions for each analyte and internal standard were acquired with an Applied Biosystems/MDM Sciex API4000 tuned to achieve unit resolution (0.7 DA at 50% full width at half maximum [FWHM]) using Analyst software (version 1.4.2).

PK data analysis
The PK parameters were determined using noncompartmental analysis from Watson bioanalytical LIMS (v.7.4) from Thermo Scientific (MA, USA). Plasma concentrations of analytes below the lower limit of quantitation were reported as below quantitation limit and a value of 0 was used for determination of PK parameters and mean plasma concentrations. Plasma samples with concentrations greater than the upper limit of quantitation value were determined by dilution. The PK parameters calculated include area under the curve (AUC) of the plasma concentration versus time curve (AUC₀₋₄₈₈), maximum concentration reached in the plasma concentration versus time curve (Cmax), time to reach the maximum concentration (tmax) and the relative oral bioavailability (F) defined as the dose-adjusted ratio of AUC₀₋₄₈₈ after oral administration to AUC₀₋₄₈₈ after intravenous administration. Additionally, plasma clearance (CLp) and elimination half-life (t₁/₂) were determined with concentration time data after intravenous administration. The mean, standard deviation and the CV were reported for these data.

Statistical analysis
The means and SDs of the PK parameters are reported along with their CVs to illustrate the relative interanimal differences. Statistical comparisons of PK parameters were evaluated for all strains. Multiple group statistical analyses were used to compare strain differences using the JMP® Statistical Software from the SAS Institute (NC, USA).

Results & discussion
The two drugs tested in this investigation were selected as they represent two different clearance mechanisms; MET, a low protein bound compound, is primarily cleared renally and R,S-VER, a highly protein bound compound that is mainly cleared by hepatic metabolism.

Pharmacokinetics of MET
We have demonstrated that the plasma concentration versus time profiles for MET when delivered by both oral or intravenous administration were similar across strains, with the exception of the intravenous profile in Göttingens (Figure 1). Based on the oral and intravenous PK profiles of MET, early distribution was faster in the Göttingen strain compared with the other three strains (Figure 1). Upon intravenous administration, plasma MET concentrations declined rapidly and were below level of quantitation (BQL = 1 ng/ml) after 8 h in the Göttingen and 10 h in the Yucatan strain, so that terminal elimination half-life values could not be determined for these two strains. With noncompartmental PK analysis, the plasma clearance (Clp) parameter was significantly higher in the Göttingen strain (19.6 ± 2.5 ml/min/kg) than in other strains (ranging from 8.7 to 10.1 ml/min/kg), (Oneway ANOVA: F (3,11) ratio = 31.3, p < 0.0001, with p < 0.0001 Dunnett’s tests) (Figure 2A).

The two drugs tested in this investigation were selected as they represent two different clearance mechanisms; MET, a low protein bound compound, is primarily cleared renally and R,S-VER, a highly protein bound compound that is mainly cleared by hepatic metabolism.
AUC_{0–24h} values were not significantly different among the four strains as shown in Figure 2B. The elimination half-life after oral administration was generally similar among all strains (ranging from approximately 8 to 12 h), except the Göttingen. A comparison with the Göttingen could not be performed as the elimination profile could not be fitted with the PK model in two of the three animals, though the half-life in one animal was short (1.3 h, data not shown). The oral bioavailability of MET in humans is between 40 and 60% [17] and is similar to the range (48–56%) obtained in three of the minipig strains, but appeared to be higher in the Göttingen strain (89%, see Supplementary Data). The high oral bioavailability in Göttingens is likely high due to the abbreviated AUC with a time interval of only 8 h after intravenous dosing compared with a 48-h interval with the oral profile and may not accurately represent oral bioavailability in this study. While the unbound clearance relative to GFR appears to be most similar between human and Göttingens compared with the other strains, the overall oral bioavailability is similar between human and the other strains.

**Pharmacokinetics of (+)-(R)-VER & (−)-(S)-VER**

Upon intravenous administration of S,R-VER to four minipig strains, the plasma concentration versus...
Figure 2. Comparison of mean (± SD, n = 4; n = 3 for Göttingen) pharmacokinetics parameters. Intravenous Clp (A) or oral AUC<sub>0–48h</sub> (B) in various strains of minipig. a Clp in Göttingen compared with other strains, p < 0.0001, Dunnett’s test.

Table 2. A comparison of metformin clearance to glomerular filtration rate in humans and various minipig strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species or minipig strain</th>
<th>GFR (ml/min/kg)</th>
<th>MET Clp (ml/min/kg)</th>
<th>Unbound Clp/GFR ratio&lt;sup&gt;§&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human†</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yucatan‡</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanford‡</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinclair</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Göttingen†</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† GFR data taken from [7]; MET Clp taken from [39].
‡ Data taken from [27], assuming similar GFR values across strains.
§ Calculated assuming unbound fraction of 1 for MET.
GFR: Glomerular filtration rate; MET: Metformin.

Human & porcine cytochromes & metabolism of verapamil

Different CYP isoforms are present in different animal species, although there is homology among species [28]. Since the sequences do vary between species, some activity differences are expected between species as well as human and pigs [11,29]. Soucek et al. [30] reported that minipig cytochrome P450 3A, 2A in the overall plasma clearances of (+)-(R)-VER and (-)-(S)-VER, which were significantly lower in the Sinclair strain (Oneway ANOVA: F (3,11) ratio = 4.72, p = 0.023, with p < 0.05 Dunnett’s tests and Oneway ANOVA: F (3,11) ratio = 4.85, p = 0.021, with p < 0.05 Dunnett’s tests; respectively) compared with that in the other three strains (Figure 4). After oral administration, the AUC<sub>0–48h</sub>, C<sub>max</sub> and T<sub>max</sub> values for both enantiomers were similar across all strains (Supplementary Table 2). The oral bioavailability of (+)-(R)-VER and (-)-(S)-VER was low and similar across all strains and enantioselectivity was not observed in any of the strains, a finding that contrasted with previously reported rat and human data [20]. The exposure of the active N-demethylation metabolites, (+)-(R)-NOR and (-)-(S)-NOR was also determined in this study as this metabolite represents one of the two major products of R,S-VER metabolism [19]. The plasma concentrations of the N-demethylated metabolites, (+)-(R)-NOR and (-)-(S)-NOR were negligible after intravenous dosing (data not shown). As shown in Figure 4B, the oral AUC<sub>0–48h</sub> ratio of (+)-(R)-NOR /(+)-(R)-VER was consistently higher than that of (-)-(S)-NOR / (-)-(S)-VER in all four strains of minipig. This indicated that in the minipig, there may be a preferential conversion of (+)-(R)-VER to (+)-(R)-NOR over (-)-(S)-VER to (−)-(S)-NOR. Whether this is due to stereoselective differences in the initial conversion of VER to NOR or further dispositional differences of the metabolites is not known.

Table 2. A comparison of metformin clearance to glomerular filtration rate in humans and various minipig strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species or minipig strain</th>
<th>GFR (ml/min/kg)</th>
<th>MET Clp (ml/min/kg)</th>
<th>Unbound Clp/GFR ratio&lt;sup&gt;§&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human†</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yucatan‡</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanford‡</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinclair</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Göttingen†</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† GFR data taken from [7]; MET Clp taken from [39].
‡ Data taken from [27], assuming similar GFR values across strains.
§ Calculated assuming unbound fraction of 1 for MET.
GFR: Glomerular filtration rate; MET: Metformin.
and 2C enzymes have similar properties to human analogs. There are similarities but also some differences between pig and human cytochrome P450 enzymes [31]. Skaanild [11] has summarized the pig liver cytochrome P450 enzyme likeness and dissimilarities to human. The isozymes with similarity to human counterparts are identical in about 75% of their cDNA sequence. Tracy et al. [9] indicated that the enantiomers of VER and NOR are metabolized by CYP450 3A4, 3A5 and 2C8 in human. The CYP3 family is the most important drug metabolizing family and metabolizes approximately 34% of compounds and represents 30–40% of total CYP in the human liver [32] and 14% of total CYP in pigs [33]. Using VER as a substrate, Thorn et al. [34] have demonstrated that CYP3A4 activity is comparable in pig versus human. There are differences in transcriptional regulation of CYP3A between humans and pigs, and while tissue expression patterns are similar interindividual variences have been detected. Yucatan pigs have higher activity of CYP3A compared with Göttingen and conventional pigs [12,13]. Porcine CYP2C33v4 has only 62.6% homology to human CYP2C9 [33]. Porcine CYP2C enzymes show some cross reactivity toward many human test substrates, but not those specific for human CYP2C, making extrapolation between pigs and humans for CYP2C difficult [11,14].

Protein binding of (+)-(R)-VER & (-)-(S)-VER

In humans, the clearance and protein binding of VER is known to be enantioselective (the clearance of (+)-(S)-VER is greater than that of (-)-(R)-VER and protein binding of (+)-(R)-VER is greater than that of (-)-(S)-VER) and opposite to that in the rat [20]. We examined the protein binding of each of the enantiomers of VER in plasma from various minipig strains and compared with human plasma. We found moderate plasma protein binding in Göttingen, Hanford and Yucatan minipig (68.9–80.3%) that was somewhat lower than human plasma (80.0–87.4%). Lowest plasma protein binding was observed in the Yucatan strain (68.9–70.9%). On average, the protein binding of VER in human plasma (80.0–87.4%) was most similar to Sinclair plasma (82.5–85.4%). In terms of enantioselectivity, less protein binding was observed with (-)-(S)-VER (80.9%) than for (+)-(R)-VER (87.4%) in human plasma, whereas plasma binding in all minipig strains was opposite; higher binding for (-)-(S)-VER than (+)-(R)-VER (Table 3). This enantiomeric selectivity in minipig plasma protein binding did not appear to influence the overall plasma clearance of the enantiomers (Figure 4), however preferential conversion of R,S-VER to (+)-(R)-NOR over (-)-(S)-NOR (Figure 4B) in minipig may be driven by stereo-selectivity of plasma protein binding to some extent. The

![Figure 3. Mean (+)-(R)-VER (square symbols) or (-)-(S)-VER (triangle symbols) plasma concentration (± SD, n = 4; n = 3 for Göttingen)–time profiles following a 0.2 mg/kg intravenous (open symbols) or 2 mg/kg oral (closed symbols) dose of R,S-VER to fasted Yucatan, Hanford, Sinclair or Göttingen minipig.](image-url)
minipig strains. Although it is premature to extend this conclusion to all racemic drugs, this may indicate that minipigs may exhibit specific enantioselective patterns. For example, although the absorption of ketoprofen is not stereoselective in human and rats [35,36], there is enantioselective clearance swine [37]. In addition, large variation in the metabolism and elimination of the enantiomeric o,p'-DDD with interanimal opposite enantiomeric profiles has been observed in Göttingen minipigs [38].

**Conclusion**

We have demonstrated some strain differences in the PK and disposition of drugs that are cleared by the kidney or metabolized by the liver in minipig. While renal clearance generally appeared to be similar across strains, the Göttingen appeared to clear MET more similarly to human than other strains. As for R,S-VER, there were no strain differences in the overall PK and disposition of its enantiomers. However, the enantioselective disposition in minipig was different from both rat and human. These data indicate that consideration of the impact of liver metabolism and dispositional differences in minipig strains is important when selecting the relevant strain for PK studies that may be used for human PK predictions.

**Future perspective**

The use of the minipig as an alternate species for the pharmacological and toxicological evaluation of human drugs has been growing over the past 10 years. Unlike other nonrodent species that are used in biomedical research, multiple strains of minipigs are available and although they share a common genetic heritage, phenotypic differences can be expected. Because

---

**Table 3. Plasma protein binding of (+)-(R)-VER and (-)-(S)-VER in humans and various strains of minipig.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma species, strain</th>
<th>Enantiomer</th>
<th>% Unbound (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>% Bound (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minipig Sinclair</td>
<td>(+)-(R)</td>
<td>17.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>82.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-)-(S)</td>
<td>14.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>85.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minipig Yucatan</td>
<td>(+)-(R)</td>
<td>31.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>68.9 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-)-(S)</td>
<td>29.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>70.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minipig Hanford</td>
<td>(+)-(R)</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>78.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-)-(S)</td>
<td>19.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>80.3 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minipig Göttingen</td>
<td>(+)-(R)</td>
<td>28.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>71.4 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-)-(S)</td>
<td>21.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>79.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>(+)-(R)</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>87.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-)-(S)</td>
<td>19.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>80.9 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 μM test concentration.

*n = 6 replicates for all species.
Table 4. General direction of enantioselectivity for exposure, clearance and protein binding in various species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Rat</th>
<th>Human</th>
<th>Minipig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral AUC</td>
<td>(-)-(S) &gt; (+)-(R)</td>
<td>(+)-(R) &gt; (-)-(S)</td>
<td>(+)-(R) = (-)-(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clp</td>
<td>(+)-(R) &gt; (-)-(S)</td>
<td>(-)-(S) &gt; (+)-(R)</td>
<td>(+)-(R) = (-)-(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma binding</td>
<td>(+)-(R) &gt; (-)-(S)</td>
<td>(-)-(S) &gt; (+)-(R)</td>
<td>(+)-(R) &gt; (-)-(S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Data taken from [20,27].

(−)-(S)-VER is metabolized more actively than the R-form in human resulting in a 2.5-fold higher concentration of the (+)-(R)-VER enantiomer in plasma.

AUC: Area under the curve.

the genetic homogeneity found in other research animals (i.e., inbreeding) is not a good representation of the genetic variability found in human, we believe that the variability observed in minipigs will help to better model and predict human pharmacology.
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Executive summary

Aim
- We explored the possible differences in oral and systemic pharmacokinetics of two known drugs in four strains of minipig (metformin and verapamil, a racemic mixture). In addition, the observed data were compared with published human data.

Results
- We observed differences in drug distribution, plasma clearance, oral bioavailability, enantiomeric clearance ratio and plasma protein binding. We also noted that the enantioselective disposition of the racemic drug was different in minipig than human.

Conclusion
- This work highlights the importance of considering the impact of metabolic and dispositional differences in minipig strains when conducting pharmacokinetics studies.
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